G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

1895-6

191 .

(Land Revenue)

File No. 267.

Serial Nos. 1 — 18.

Later references.

P-74

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 ——— 18.

Major ~~Part~~ B for August 1901

SUBJECT.

Revision of the Inam Settlement of the Kodigi Inam of Hirekavanakalli, Kowrimpet-Tq:

1690 . 4. 11. 95.

From. Govindappa

Appeals against the Survey Supdt order No: 169 dated 8. 10. 95 and requests that the Kodage may be restored to him.

2. Pet- 1010 23. 11. 95

To, The Supdt: Inam Settlements

Forwards the above and requests him to submit the above proceedings.

W D 1351—GPE—20,000—16-12-15

3. 4414/Pet-384 6. 12. 95

From, The Supdt: Inam Settlements

Reports everything concerning the case

4

5220 / 692 — 9.12.95

To. The Dy: Commr Kolar.

Forwards the above petition and requests to inform Petitioner no effect was given to the order of Resumption passed in 1872 till recently.

5. 4833 / Pet-423 — 2259 / 592 — 19.12.95

From. The Dy: Commr: Kolar

Submits the Amildars Irgi no: 799 with its enclosures and States that some of the records are not yet worked for.

6. — pet- / 1192 — 24.12.95

To. The D.C. Kolar.

Requests him to report that the result of the further inquiry may be reported to this Office

7. 5297 / pet-466 — 2466 / 665 — 10.1.96

From. The D.C. Kolar.

Submits the Amildar's further report no: 902 which is also not sufficient.

8. ~~5297~~ / pet-466 — 6329 / 1279 — 17.1.96

To, The D.C. Kolar.

Requests him to make necessary inquiries re the missing records and report the same to this Office.

9. 5807 / pet-500. — 2715 / 728. — 30.1.96

From. The D.C. ~~Kolar~~.

Submits the Amildar's Irgi no: 1060 dated the 28/1/96.

10. — 7859-66 / P. 1574 — 2.3.96.

To, The D.C. Kolar,
To, The D.C.S. of Deals

behoves the Deputy Commissioner to look into this matter personally and endeavour his best to find the record. The careless officials responsible for this loss must be punished and the result reported to Government.

2. A Report should be submitted on the present condition of the land, the extent of the Kodagi lands, and that of Government holdings. The statement of cultivation since the resumption must also be mentioned.

It should also be enquired how the lands have been occupied for cultivation since the resumption of the inam was ordered.

3. It is possible that there may be cases similar to this in other Districts also. Deputy Commissioners will make the necessary enquiries and see that all orders for resumption of

Inam lands have been given effect to.

Draft

C 243 For Revenue Secretary

To The Deputy Commr of Kolar District

Deputy Commrs of other districts.

Draft submitted for approval

HCL
12/2/96

Sr.
12/2

The order must give a statement of the case - that the Govt is requested to be informed also as to what action has been taken with regard to the whole question whether Inam lands should be resumed or not.

Prepare another draft & bring up.

HCL
20/2/96

Attended to
Sr.

2 Drafts

L.R.

2679-95

101 No. W.B. Receiving of the Govt of Bombay

Read:—

(1) Petition No 16 Gn/51 of 1895-96 presented by Gurudasppa of Nilekanpanhalli B.B. Supplet Taluk, complaining that in reply to his petition to the Inam Department for Revision, in accordance with the Govt Orders of 1886, of the settlement of his Kodagi Inam attached to the Honnali Taluk in the said Village, he received an endorsement from the Collr in 1895, to the effect that the Inam had been resumed by the late Inam Superintendent in 1872, and praying that the Inam which has been in his enjoyment may be confirmed to him

(2) Docket No 2259/5-92 dated 19th December 1895 from the Deputy-Commissioner, Kolar

District reporting that no
effect has given to the order
of resumption passed in 1872
and that the papers bearing
on the subject were not
forthcoming in the Talúk Kacheri.

(3) Correspondence ending in the
docket No 271/728 dated 30th January
96 pointing some Officer reporting,
as the result of further enquiry,
that no clue was found as to
the whereabouts of the Imam
Superintendent's order No 157
dated 30th June 1871, while
that Officer's order No 610 dated
20th March 95 was stated to
have been referred to the Sheristadar
Ramananda Rao who was
reported to have since died.

— W. —

Order.

It is to be regretted that
the most material papers
have not been found: it
behoves

45

Kolar def Sons's No 1268/205 of

Section ON IGTS

File No

Trial No

October 1900, and with reffs on 24.11.00

Subd with Selections No 2:

Mep

13.12.00

Subd to the 1st encr.

The inform^n called for in para 3 of the encl^d of 28-9-66 & 15.7.4 & 2/4/13/16 is given in the statement furnished by the dy comm^r. The reply has come after nearly 6½ yrs. Lrs.

18/12/00

Reply to para 4, but no reply to para 2 has been recd. Lrs.

Recorded Vol-t-30-File 12-1-01

Dy Secy

20.12.00

This reports a reply wforwarded of 2nd March 1896 and the Govt are compelled to conclude that the Dy Comr omitted to have noticed the very long delay of more than four years in submitting what after all is a statement of the Collectors of collections, as if he

(2)

Rank Appl[n]
time
Council case)
2nd proceedings -
Subd Bd Councillor
Q

15-11-95
upwards
Report book
upon Council
Council
16.11.95

Q

Appl[n]
Rec[d]
Bd
21-11-95

(1)

K.R.
2674/95 — 1895 — 61

No. 1 1695

Name of Petitioner, Govindappa, Son of Venkatramanappa

Village, Kodagidar of Hoskere Residing at

Taluk, Sirekarapanahally Bowringpete -

District, Kolar

Date of Arzi, 4-11-95

Date of Receipt, 5-11-95

Date of Disposal,

No. of Enclosure, one -

ABSTRACT.

Appeals against the Survey Superintendent's order No 149 dtd 8-10-95; which is as follows:-

"Read:-

"Your petition dtd 10-9-95 praying for a fresh enquiry regarding the Kodagi Inam of Hoskere

The above Kodgi Inam was attached in the year 1872 and disposed of by the late Inam Superintendent."

States that the Kodgi Hoskere tank of Sirekarapanahally was built by his elders at a great cost; that the same used to be repaired by them accordi

according to the provisions of the Circular issued by the
Maharaja; that upon the formation of the Inam Department in reference for a small amount
1872, a statement was taken from the Petr regarding
Inamdar, but that no decision has been intimated to
as yet, the Inam being enjoyed by the Petr without interr
that a fresh enquiry was ordered in 1888; that though the
Deptt was requested to decide the matter no steps were t
the same during the Kodagi Settlement; and, that now he
formed by the Inam Deptt through the Amildar that th
had been attached in the year 1872.

He prays that the Kodagi may be restored to him on the
following grounds: ——

1 That as per orders of Govt issued during the time of
construction of Kodagi tanks, the tank under dispu
was built by the Petr's ancestors at a great cost.
2. That if the Kodagi Inam had been attached in the
1872, there was no reason why the Petr was allowed
the same since then.
3. That many Kodagis which were in the possession o
without ever being enjoyed by the owners were subse
handed over to them.
4 That much injustice is done to him regarding

Further prays that if the Kodagi Inam cannot
restored to the Petr, the same may be taken over b
in payment to the Petr of the amount spent by h
for the ~~construction~~ construction of the tank toge
the amount expended for the repair of the same

had decreed it is
unnatural that the
Govt should have
been furnished with
some explanation of
this unsatisfactory
delay - This mistake
is not the first-epistle
Kurd from the Kolar
District and it is hoped
that the present Dy Govt
will infuse activity
and promptness in the
working of this office.

The other unsatisfactory
feature of the case is
that the order of the Asst
Dy Commr directing the
Kodigi Ramiwas
not carried out. The
record duly cannot
be traced. The Dy Comr,
though requested twice,
has again failed to report
the action be took on the
receipt of the Govt order to
which this letter is a reply
but which does not make
any allusion at all to
the result of the further
investigation ordered -
The fact remains that
the Kodigi dar has continued
enjoying his Inam during
the last 29 years and
the Govt is asked to

2) 7

have been maintained in an efficient condition under them as it seems hard and in equitable to give effect to the order of 1872 and deprive the Inamdar of which he has held his Inam holding before passing final orders in the matter however, there will be sent to the Inam Supt & he will be requested to favour the Govt with his views after a consideration of the facts above set forth. The favour of an early is solicited.

6.1.07
Parasurampur

=

Why was this delayed in office for nearly three months from Oct 06

Copy of the order will be sent with the Govt of Kolar.

Send

Rec'd 12.1.07
Work 4:30
Desp on 12-1-07.
Draft 15-1.
(Bhutte holidays)

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Appeal Petition

No. 1690 dated 4th Nov. 95

received at the Dewan's Office from Poovaiah son of Venkataramanappa, Kodagina of Hosakere residing at Kurikaravanhalli Bowringpet-Taluk appealing against the order of the Superintendent of the Inam Settlement regarding his Kodipina

is forwarded, together with its enclosures, to the ~~Deputy Commissioner of~~ Asst. Supdt. of the Inam Settlement ~~District~~ Bangalore for submission of the entire proceedings connected with the case, together with a full report of the facts of the case, and on the points urged in the petition.

2. The petition with its enclosure should be returned.

By order,

Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE,
Nov. 1895.

M.G.C.P.B.—25-4-95—1,000

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
DATE 11-

4—11—95

(41)

Encls: to 8.3.

(15)

(Sheet etc. 184.)

Taluk - Malur
Sammatti - Hulikere
Village - Hire Karapanahally

Copy of the **Register of Inams in the Village of** Hirekarapanahalli

(16)

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM.

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village.	General class to which the Inam belongs.	Survey No. and name of field or fields comprised in the grant. Dry, wet or garden.	Extent. Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, goontas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column.	Extent. Measure in acres and their fractions decimals.
1	2	3	4	5
		As per Paimaish 1836.		
7.	Kodigi.	Wet Nos 133, 136, & 161.	1-19-8.	4.07.

6

Section K. R.

File No 267 Yr 95

Serial No 3



The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To Personal
The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

Land Revenue Division

Dated 6th
Despatched 7th } December
Received

Enclosure No. 3

No. 59 of 1895-96

Reports with regard to the Kodigedar of Kaire-Karaparahally Village Bowringpet Taluk.

Rev
Dept
M
7/12/95

Copied & sent December
Petition Papers Regd

Regd.
Notice of cap[t] officer of the Police Board.

16/12 Adjd to 9th January 96.

6

(8)

No. 5 of 1895.
(Ans: 3.)

Inam Supdt's Office.
Bangalore 3rd Dec. 1895.

To

The Revenue Secretary to the Government
of Mysore

Sir,

In reply to the Government Office Memorandum No. 3384 dated 22nd Instant, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of the Inam Register, Case No. 40 of Hire Kanyanahalli Village, Kasaba Sulé, from which you will observe that the Kaiyi Inam under complaint, was finally resumed by Colonel Elliot, then Inam Commissioner, on the 17th November 1870, on the grounds therein stated, and that resumption was re-confirmed by Colonel Cole, the late Inam Superintendent, on the 24th January 1872.

2. The enclosures of the Memo under reply are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

H. Grant
Supdt of Inam Settlt.

Kolar

60.

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee.	Name entered in the register prepared by Poorniah up to 1810. (19)	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810.
12	13	14
	In 1801 Both for this Tank and another below to this. wet 1-10-u	In 1833. Ramagowda wet 1-3-u In 1836. Venkate Gowda wet 1-14-8. In 1860 Ramagowda wet 1-15-2½

of the Nandydrug.

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS.

(20)

Particulars regarding present owner. If the Inam has been subdivided, the name, &c., of each share should be entered in the columns.

Residence, name, and age, &c.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders.	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent.	If unconnected with ginal holders, posse obtained, and in w
15	16	17	18
Magoondi			
1. Mooniappah 1/2 50	Grandson to the party in 1833.	2. Sons.	Sto a
Hirekarapanahally			
2. Venkatramanappa 40	1 Son	1 Son	No. purc Inam from part in
3. Mooniappah 38		2 Sons	
4. Nanjundappa 35		2 Daughters	
5. Somappa 30	Claimed	1 wife	in 1 Sta is pr
6. Ramappah 1/2 25		1 wife	
Undivided brothers.			

Summary of Yttadiki **in the Taluk of** Hirekerur

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment.	Survey assessment.	Description of Inam.	
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the ryots to the inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7.		If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, &c., whether they are efficiently kept up. Hereditary or for life.	Whether free of tax, &c., or liable to quit rent, *i. e.* jodi, &c., Amount of latter to be entered.
6	7	8	9
Rs. 2-4-6 or Rs. 12-6-2		Granted for the repair of Hanikere in this village, the tank is said to be in need of repair which the Kottigidars agree to execute after the Monsoon is over. —	Free.

13

Lk. 9

267 of 95 Import (4)

Gen. Serial No. 31.] 189

4

From

(28)

To The Deputy Commr
Kolar District

Dated 9/12/95-

Despatched 9

Received

Enclosures No. one

General No. 5270
P. No. 1092

In forwarding herewith
a copy of ~~petition~~ appeal No 1690
dated 4th November 95- presented
by Govindappa of Hirekarapa
halli Bowringpet-Taluk, against
the order of the Inam Supdt
regarding the Kodagi Inam
of Hosakere in the said village.
has the honour to request
that the Deputy Commr
will be so good as to report
whether, as stated by the
Petitioner, no appeal was
given to the order of resumption
passed in 1872 till recently.
and, if so, why it was
not given, ~~and also~~ the appellant requests to send

Copies

Copies of reports made by in
1871-72 by the Inam Com-
missioner about the condition
of the tank.

2. An early reply is
solicited as Govindaiya's
appeal stands posted by
21st Inst.

(23)

By Order

Draft. 9/12
for Revenue Secretary

9/12.

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM.

By whom granted and in what year.	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sannad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession. In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, &c., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given.
10	11

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

12

Division.

LENGTH OF POSSESSION.

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation.	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner.
19	20

(1) Noted in the annexed Statement
on the 24th December 1868.
To be placed in the annexed
Statement
(Signed) B. Appaji Rao
Special Assistant
24/12/68.

(2) To be attached.
(Signed) T. Chalk
Inam Commr
Decr 24, 68.

(3) To be granted (resumed, the
former entirely) as proposed.
(Signed) B. Appaji Rao
Special Assistant
21-2-70

(4) To be resumed.
(Signed) T. Chalk
Inam Commr
March 7, 70

(5) Recommended for confirmation, as it is
proposed to grant the Inam
to the Pujaris and Archakas
of the Temple.
(Signed) B. Appaji Rao
Special Assistant
8th February.

(6) The resumption to be
confirmed as the land is
Government property.
(Signed) B. Appaji Rao
Special Assistant

(7) 20/1/72
Resumption is confirmed
(Signed) Robt. Sale
20/1/72 Offg Inam Commr

"Copy"

Inam Superintendent's Office
Bangalore 31st March 1873

Inam Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 31st March 1873

97

4-11-95

For General Secretary

comp

Encl. to 681/4

15/10

A.N.S. 51-52-56

ಅಂಗಡಿ ಮಾಲೀಕರಿಗೆ ಸೂಚನೆ ನೀಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಮಾಸ್ಕ್ ಧರಿಸದೆ ಬರುವ ಗ್ರಾಹಕರಿಗೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರವೇಶ ನೀಡಬಾರದು. ಅಂಗಡಿಯ ಮುಂಭಾಗ ಗ್ರಾಹಕರು ಸಾಮಾಜಿಕ ಅಂತರ ಕಾಪಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸೂಚಿಸಿ ಎಂದು ಅಂಗಡಿ ಮಾಲೀಕರಿಗೆ ಸೂಚಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಈ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಪಿಡಿಒ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿ ಹಾಜರಿದ್ದರು.

ಕೊರೊನಾ ಸೋಂಕು ಹರಡುವಿಕೆ ತಡೆಗಟ್ಟಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಜಾರಿಗೊಳಿಸಿರುವ ಮಾರ್ಗಸೂಚಿಗಳನ್ನು ಪಾಲಿಸಲು ಅಂಗಡಿ ಮಾಲೀಕರಿಗೆ ಸೂಚನೆ ನೀಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಅಂಗಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಗ್ರಾಹಕರು ಸಾಮಾಜಿಕ ಅಂತರ ಕಾಪಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸೂಚಿಸಿ ಎಂದು ಅಂಗಡಿ ಮಾಲೀಕರಿಗೆ ಸೂಚಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಈ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಪಿಡಿಒ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿ ಹಾಜರಿದ್ದರು.

ಕೊರೊನಾ ಸೋಂಕು ಹರಡುವಿಕೆ ತಡೆಗಟ್ಟಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಜಾರಿಗೊಳಿಸಿರುವ ಮಾರ್ಗಸೂಚಿಗಳನ್ನು ಪಾಲಿಸಲು ಅಂಗಡಿ ಮಾಲೀಕರಿಗೆ ಸೂಚನೆ ನೀಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಅಂಗಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಗ್ರಾಹಕರು ಸಾಮಾಜಿಕ ಅಂತರ ಕಾಪಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸೂಚಿಸಿ ಎಂದು ಅಂಗಡಿ ಮಾಲೀಕರಿಗೆ ಸೂಚಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಈ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಪಿಡಿಒ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿ ಹಾಜರಿದ್ದರು.

ಕೊರೊನಾ ಸೋಂಕು ಹರಡುವಿಕೆ ತಡೆಗಟ್ಟಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಜಾರಿಗೊಳಿಸಿರುವ ಮಾರ್ಗಸೂಚಿಗಳನ್ನು ಪಾಲಿಸಲು ಅಂಗಡಿ ಮಾಲೀಕರಿಗೆ ಸೂಚನೆ ನೀಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಅಂಗಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಗ್ರಾಹಕರು ಸಾಮಾಜಿಕ ಅಂತರ ಕಾಪಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸೂಚಿಸಿ ಎಂದು ಅಂಗಡಿ ಮಾಲೀಕರಿಗೆ ಸೂಚಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಈ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಪಿಡಿಒ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿ ಹಾಜರಿದ್ದರು.

ಕೊರೊನಾ ಸೋಂಕು ಹರಡುವಿಕೆ ತಡೆಗಟ್ಟಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಜಾರಿಗೊಳಿಸಿರುವ ಮಾರ್ಗಸೂಚಿಗಳನ್ನು ಪಾಲಿಸಲು ಅಂಗಡಿ ಮಾಲೀಕರಿಗೆ ಸೂಚನೆ ನೀಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಅಂಗಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಗ್ರಾಹಕರು ಸಾಮಾಜಿಕ ಅಂತರ ಕಾಪಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸೂಚಿಸಿ ಎಂದು ಅಂಗಡಿ ಮಾಲೀಕರಿಗೆ ಸೂಚಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಈ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಪಿಡಿಒ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿ ಹಾಜರಿದ್ದರು.

19

Section [Gen. 31.] 1895

File No 4774 (8)

Item No 5

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 4833
Ser. 423
21 DEC. 95
DEWAN'S OFFICE

From The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur

To
The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

Dated 19th december 1895

Despatched 19th

Received

General No. 2259
No. 592

Enclosure No. 3

Forwarded No 5270 dated 9th P1092
9th december 1895, regarding the petition of Gurudaffa Hirekarapanhalli Bowringpet Taluk appearing against the order of the Inam superintendent and Circle Inam officer Hosakere in the said village.

With reference to the above, I have the honor to submit herewith the Bowringpet Amil

Petn
M
21/12/95

Referred as directed by
Mr Anstey.
S.V.
24/12

Amildar's urgent Tgg
dated 14th Instant with
its enclosures from which
it appears that no effect
was given to the order of
resumption, based on, 3/2
and that the papers bear-
ing on the subject are not
forthcoming in the Taluk
Cutcherry.

2. The Amildar's report is by no means satis-
factory, has been directed
to make more searching
and careful enquiry in
the matter and report the
result with full particu-
lars.

Deputy Commissioner's
Kolar District.

21

Chelobitnaya

46731

523

[illegible]

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Date 17-12-95
No 8308
KOLAR DISTRICT

199 23

[illegible]

17-12-95

[illegible] 1871 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 89 [illegible]

[illegible] 6.10 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

12-06-90 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1884 [illegible]

[illegible] 24 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 4 [illegible]

[illegible] 1-1-6 [illegible]

[illegible] 1-12-6 [illegible]

[illegible] 1-12-6 [illegible] 3-9-0 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

ವಿಳಾಸ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಮತ್ತು ಹೆಸರು ಬದಲಾವಣೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ

ಮಾನ್ಯರೆ, ನಾನು ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ನಗರದ ಸಿ.ವಿ. ರಾಮನ್ ನಗರ ವಿಧಾನಸಭಾ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರದ ಮತದಾರನಾಗಿದ್ದು, ಮತದಾರರ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನನ್ನ ಹೆಸರು ಕ್ರಮ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 69 ರಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಸದರಿ ಕ್ರಮ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನನ್ನ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ವಿಳಾಸ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬದಲಾವಣೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿ ಈ ಮನವಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

ನಾನು ಈ ಹಿಂದೆ ಸಿ.ವಿ. ರಾಮನ್ ನಗರ ವಿಧಾನಸಭಾ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರದ ಮತದಾರರ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕ್ರಮ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 1883 ರಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದಾಗಿದ್ದು, ಮತದಾರರ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯ ಪರಿಷ್ಕರಣೆಯ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನನ್ನ ಹೆಸರು ಕ್ರಮ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 1872 ಕ್ಕೆ ಬದಲಾವಣೆಯಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದರೆ ನನ್ನ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ವಿಳಾಸ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವುದೇ ಬದಲಾವಣೆಯಾಗಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ನನ್ನ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ವಿಳಾಸ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಬದಲಾವಣೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿ ಈ ಮನವಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

ಇಂತಿ ನಿಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ

14-12-ರಂದು ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

27

Gen 31.]

File No. 2674/95 189

Serial No. 6

From

To The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

Dated 24
Despatched 25 } Dec 1895
Received

General No. 6761-1
No. 1192

Enclosure No.

His docket No 2259/5-92
19th December 95.

~~With reference to the~~ above has the honour to request that the result of the further enquiry ~~directed~~ thereof to be made by the Collector of Bowringpet may be reported to this office before the 9th January 96, by which date the appeal of Govindappa stands posted for hearing.

By Order

Draft
24/12/95 for Revenue Secretary

28

L.R. (7)

Gen. 81.] 1891.

No. 2670/22

No. 7

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 5297
Rev. No. 466
10 JAN. 92
DEWAN'S OFFICE.

From The Secretary to the Government

To Revenue.
The Secretary to the Government of Burma of Mines.

Dated 10th January

Despatched 11th

Received

General No. 2466
No. 665

Enclosure No. 2

Sir,

I am directed by the Lieutenant Governor to forward for the information of the Government of India a petition addressed to His Honour by the Headmen of Kin Kaingdaung, Kaingzin Taung and other villages against the action of the Township Officer of Myaungmya in the matter of the boundary between the Kin Kaingdaung Kaingzin Taung and other villages

relating to the above and to request that the

The Decision reached -
Report to Parliament
30th November 1995
(with the Secretary
which is Exactly
any implementation
the previous Report
in the matter and then
which is applicable
the reference Right to
Disagree of the Decision.
Yet the Judgment
Coming in this Office

Narayanbyagar

Judiciary Commission
Iran

Sielo 1081.7 30

[illegible] 1895–96 [illegible]

[illegible] 10–10–95 [illegible] 15 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1872

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 8–10–95 [illegible] 649 [illegible]

[illegible] 10–10–95

[illegible]

[illegible]

Copy

ਪਾਸਪੋਰਟ ਸਾਈਜ਼ ਫੋਟੋ

ਨੋਟ: ਫੋਟੋ ਸਾਫ਼ ਹੋਣੀ ਚਾਹੀਦੀ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਚਿਹਰਾ ਸਪੱਸ਼ਟ ਦਿਖਾਈ ਦੇਣਾ ਚਾਹੀਦਾ ਹੈ।

ਪਾਸਪੋਰਟ ਸਾਈਜ਼ ਫੋਟੋ

ਨੋਟ: ਫੋਟੋ ਸਾਫ਼ ਹੋਣੀ ਚਾਹੀਦੀ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਚਿਹਰਾ ਸਪੱਸ਼ਟ ਦਿਖਾਈ ਦੇਣਾ ਚਾਹੀਦਾ ਹੈ।

ਪਾਸਪੋਰਟ ਸਾਈਜ਼ ਫੋਟੋ

ਨੋਟ: ਫੋਟੋ ਸਾਫ਼ ਹੋਣੀ ਚਾਹੀਦੀ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਚਿਹਰਾ ਸਪੱਸ਼ਟ ਦਿਖਾਈ ਦੇਣਾ ਚਾਹੀਦਾ ਹੈ।

ਪਾਸਪੋਰਟ ਸਾਈਜ਼ ਫੋਟੋ

ਨੋਟ: ਫੋਟੋ ਸਾਫ਼ ਹੋਣੀ ਚਾਹੀਦੀ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਚਿਹਰਾ ਸਪੱਸ਼ਟ ਦਿਖਾਈ ਦੇਣਾ ਚਾਹੀਦਾ ਹੈ।

35

L.R.

(Gen. 31.)

25/6/96 189

Serial No. 6

Urgent

From

8

To

The Deputy-Commr
Kolar District

Dated 17
Despatched 17 } January
Received

General No. 6329
P. No. 1277

Enclosure No.

His docket-No 2466/665 dated 10th instant submitting the Bowringpet Amildar's report regarding certain records connected with the appeal of Govindappa of Hirekanamalli Bowringpet-Taluk and stating that he submits the petition

With reference to the above has the honour to request that the Deputy Commissioner will make necessary enquiry into the alleged missing of records and submit a final report before

the 2nd February 1896, in the case
~~[illegible]~~ is [illegible]

By Order

C.

17-1-96

Revenue Secretary.

Draft.

17/1

37

Section... R.P. (9) (11)

[Gen. 31.]

File No. 267 Fds — 1896

Letter No. 9



From The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To Revenue
The Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.
Government

Dated 30th January, 1896.

Despatched 31st.

Received

General No. 2715
No. 728

Enclosure No. 1 file

Yr's docket No. 6329 dated Pet 1279 17th instant regarding certain records connected with the appeal of Govindappa of Hirekaranahalli Bowringpet Taluk.

Adverting to the above, I have the honor to submit herewith the Amildar's Wazi No. 1060 dated the 28th January 1896 with its enclosures from which it will be

Reply
31/2/96

Lee Steven's, but this seems
Ring records have not been
discovered.

No case has been found
on the Khasi Superintendent's order
No 150 dated 30th June 87
while that officer's order No
610 of the 26th March, 88
is stated to have been referred
to the Sirdars -
Nanmantha Rai who is re-
ported to have pine died.
If these two orders with the
Corrected papers had been
found, they might have
thrown some light on the
subject. -

Deputy Commissioner
Khasi District

It is to be regretted
that the mentioned
papers have not been
found - It is very
much to be wished
into the matter
mentally
magistrate
instituted
the record - The
careless officer responsible
for this loss must
be punished &
the result reported
before.

Report must be
submitted on the
condemnation
ctent of Koripi
& that of forfeiture
The state of cultivation
must also be mentioned
with the remarks.

It is possible
that the magistrate
cases mentioned
Inspection here -
attention of the
Ry case, must
be drawn - draft
order must be
submitted for
Magistrate
from the Manipur
office must be

39

19.12.96

17.1.96

30.12.96

18.1.96

30 JAN 1997

KOLAR DIST.

ਸਾਲ ਦੀ ਮਿਆਦ ਦੇ ਅੰਦਰ ਅੰਦਰ ਹੀ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾ ਸਕਦੀ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਇਸ ਤੋਂ ਬਾਅਦ ਨਹੀਂ। ਇਸ ਕੇਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਇਹ ਅਪੀਲ 1889 ਵਿੱਚ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਇਹ ਸਪੱਸ਼ਟ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਇਹ ਅਪੀਲ ਸਮੇਂ ਤੋਂ ਬਾਅਦ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਲਈ ਇਹ ਅਪੀਲ ਖਾਰਜ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਂਦੀ ਹੈ।

ਇਸ ਕੇਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਨੇ ਇਹ ਦਲੀਲ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਉਸ ਨੂੰ ਫੈਸਲੇ ਦੀ ਕਾਪੀ ਸਮੇਂ ਸਿਰ ਨਹੀਂ ਮਿਲੀ ਸੀ ਅਤੇ ਇਸ ਲਈ ਉਹ ਸਮੇਂ ਸਿਰ ਅਪੀਲ ਨਹੀਂ ਕਰ ਸਕਿਆ। ਪਰ ਇਹ ਦਲੀਲ ਵੀ ਸਵੀਕਾਰ ਨਹੀਂ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾ ਸਕਦੀ ਕਿਉਂਕਿ ਕਾਨੂੰਨ ਵਿੱਚ ਇਹ ਵਿਵਸਥਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਅਪੀਲ ਫੈਸਲੇ ਦੀ ਮਿਤੀ ਤੋਂ ਹੀ ਸ਼ੁਰੂ ਹੁੰਦੀ ਹੈ, ਨਾ ਕਿ ਫੈਸਲੇ ਦੀ ਕਾਪੀ ਮਿਲਣ ਦੀ ਮਿਤੀ ਤੋਂ। ਇਸ ਲਈ ਇਹ ਦਲੀਲ ਵੀ ਖਾਰਜ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਂਦੀ ਹੈ।

ਇਸ ਕੇਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਨੇ ਇਹ ਵੀ ਦਲੀਲ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਉਸ ਨੂੰ ਫੈਸਲੇ ਦੀ ਕਾਪੀ ਸਮੇਂ ਸਿਰ ਨਹੀਂ ਮਿਲੀ ਸੀ ਅਤੇ ਇਸ ਲਈ ਉਹ ਸਮੇਂ ਸਿਰ ਅਪੀਲ ਨਹੀਂ ਕਰ ਸਕਿਆ। ਪਰ ਇਹ ਦਲੀਲ ਵੀ ਸਵੀਕਾਰ ਨਹੀਂ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾ ਸਕਦੀ ਕਿਉਂਕਿ ਕਾਨੂੰਨ ਵਿੱਚ ਇਹ ਵਿਵਸਥਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਅਪੀਲ ਫੈਸਲੇ ਦੀ ਮਿਤੀ ਤੋਂ ਹੀ ਸ਼ੁਰੂ ਹੁੰਦੀ ਹੈ, ਨਾ ਕਿ ਫੈਸਲੇ ਦੀ ਕਾਪੀ ਮਿਲਣ ਦੀ ਮਿਤੀ ਤੋਂ। ਇਸ ਲਈ ਇਹ ਦਲੀਲ ਵੀ ਖਾਰਜ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਂਦੀ ਹੈ।

ਇਸ ਕੇਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਨੇ ਇਹ ਵੀ ਦਲੀਲ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਉਸ ਨੂੰ ਫੈਸਲੇ ਦੀ ਕਾਪੀ ਸਮੇਂ ਸਿਰ ਨਹੀਂ ਮਿਲੀ ਸੀ ਅਤੇ ਇਸ ਲਈ ਉਹ ਸਮੇਂ ਸਿਰ ਅਪੀਲ ਨਹੀਂ ਕਰ ਸਕਿਆ। ਪਰ ਇਹ ਦਲੀਲ ਵੀ ਸਵੀਕਾਰ ਨਹੀਂ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾ ਸਕਦੀ ਕਿਉਂਕਿ ਕਾਨੂੰਨ ਵਿੱਚ ਇਹ ਵਿਵਸਥਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਅਪੀਲ ਫੈਸਲੇ ਦੀ ਮਿਤੀ ਤੋਂ ਹੀ ਸ਼ੁਰੂ ਹੁੰਦੀ ਹੈ, ਨਾ ਕਿ ਫੈਸਲੇ ਦੀ ਕਾਪੀ ਮਿਲਣ ਦੀ ਮਿਤੀ ਤੋਂ। ਇਸ ਲਈ ਇਹ ਦਲੀਲ ਵੀ ਖਾਰਜ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਂਦੀ ਹੈ।

ਇਸ ਕੇਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਨੇ ਇਹ ਵੀ ਦਲੀਲ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਉਸ ਨੂੰ ਫੈਸਲੇ ਦੀ ਕਾਪੀ ਸਮੇਂ ਸਿਰ ਨਹੀਂ ਮਿਲੀ ਸੀ ਅਤੇ ਇਸ ਲਈ ਉਹ ਸਮੇਂ ਸਿਰ ਅਪੀਲ ਨਹੀਂ ਕਰ ਸਕਿਆ। ਪਰ ਇਹ ਦਲੀਲ ਵੀ ਸਵੀਕਾਰ ਨਹੀਂ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾ ਸਕਦੀ ਕਿਉਂਕਿ ਕਾਨੂੰਨ ਵਿੱਚ ਇਹ ਵਿਵਸਥਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਅਪੀਲ ਫੈਸਲੇ ਦੀ ਮਿਤੀ ਤੋਂ ਹੀ ਸ਼ੁਰੂ ਹੁੰਦੀ ਹੈ, ਨਾ ਕਿ ਫੈਸਲੇ ਦੀ ਕਾਪੀ ਮਿਲਣ ਦੀ ਮਿਤੀ ਤੋਂ। ਇਸ ਲਈ ਇਹ ਦਲੀਲ ਵੀ ਖਾਰਜ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਂਦੀ ਹੈ।

ਇਸ ਕੇਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਨੇ ਇਹ ਵੀ ਦਲੀਲ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਉਸ ਨੂੰ ਫੈਸਲੇ ਦੀ ਕਾਪੀ ਸਮੇਂ ਸਿਰ ਨਹੀਂ ਮਿਲੀ ਸੀ ਅਤੇ ਇਸ ਲਈ ਉਹ ਸਮੇਂ ਸਿਰ ਅਪੀਲ ਨਹੀਂ ਕਰ ਸਕਿਆ। ਪਰ ਇਹ ਦਲੀਲ ਵੀ ਸਵੀਕਾਰ ਨਹੀਂ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾ ਸਕਦੀ ਕਿਉਂਕਿ ਕਾਨੂੰਨ ਵਿੱਚ ਇਹ ਵਿਵਸਥਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਅਪੀਲ ਫੈਸਲੇ ਦੀ ਮਿਤੀ ਤੋਂ ਹੀ ਸ਼ੁਰੂ ਹੁੰਦੀ ਹੈ, ਨਾ ਕਿ ਫੈਸਲੇ ਦੀ ਕਾਪੀ ਮਿਲਣ ਦੀ ਮਿਤੀ ਤੋਂ। ਇਸ ਲਈ ਇਹ ਦਲੀਲ ਵੀ ਖਾਰਜ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਂਦੀ ਹੈ।

41

(21)

42

ಕೆಲಸ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ

1 ಕೆಲಸಗಾರರಿಗೆ ಕೂಲಿ ಹಣ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವುದು
596
19-1-96
ಮೊಸರಿಗೆ ಬಂದ ಕೆಲಸಗಾರರು 26-1-96 ನೇ ದಿನ

2 ಕೆಲಸಗಾರರಿಗೆ ಹಣ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವುದು

3 ಕೆಲಸಗಾರರಿಗೆ ಕೂಲಿ ಹಣ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವುದು

ਦੀ ਮਿਤੀ 26-1-96 ਨੂੰ ਮਾਨਯੋਗ

ਹੈ ਕਿ ਉਹ ਇਸ ਮਾਮਲੇ ਵਿੱਚ ਕੋਈ ਦਖਲ

ਨਹੀਂ ਦੇਣਾ ਚਾਹੁੰਦੇ ਅਤੇ ਇਸ ਕੇਸ ਨੂੰ

ਵਾਪਸ ਲੈਣ ਦੀ ਇਜਾਜ਼ਤ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ।

ਇਸ ਅਰਜ਼ੀ ਨੂੰ ਮਾਨਯੋਗ ਹਾਈ ਕੋਰਟ ਨੇ

ਮਿਤੀ 25-1-96 ਨੂੰ

ਦੀ ਮਿਤੀ 653 ਦੇ ਹੁਕਮਾਂ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ

ਮਿਤੀ 28-1-96 ਨੂੰ ਵਾਪਸ

44

1

19-1-96

1354

12425

DISTRICT POLICE
KOLAR
21 JAN 96
OFFICE

1003

22/1/96. G. Venkatesha

26/1/96

[illegible]

[illegible]

22.1.96 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

4551

[illegible]

[illegible]

19-1-96 [illegible]

[illegible] 1.96 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 543

[illegible]

[illegible] 22/11/96 [illegible]

59 23-1-96 49

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 12-1-96

[illegible] 621 [illegible]

[illegible] 187 [illegible]

[illegible] 150 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 7 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

(7)

19-1-1960

[illegible]

[illegible] 1896 [illegible] 5 [illegible]
[illegible] 18-1-96 [illegible] 6 2 1/2 [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] 1889 [illegible] 26 [illegible] 610 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

1871

30-12-95

14-12-95

19-12-95

24-12-95

1896

1895

9157 P

Order thereon 783-9-66 P.1574

9 2/4 2·3·96

(66)

The Kodagi Inam in question was finally ordered to be resumed, on the ground that the bank was out of repair, so long ago as January 1872. Not only was no effect given to this order, but the whole record bearing on the matter is reported to be missing. In the meanwhile, the Kodagidar has been enjoying the land, and now asks for recognition of his right to this inam and for revision of the Inam Settlement in accordance with in respect of it, under the orders of Government dated 29th September 1888.

2. It is to be regretted that the record has not been found. It behoves the Deputy Commissioner to look into this matter personally and endeavour

his best to find the record. 58
The careless officials responsible
for this loss must be punished
and the result reported to
Government.

(57)

3. To decide the question regarding the valid
resumption gr
it is necessary to know the
present condition of the tank,
the extent of the Kodagi lands,
and that of the Government
holdings below the tank.
A report should be submitted
on these points. It should clearly
~~elaborate~~ mention whether the whole
~~lands have been cultivated~~
~~for cultivation since~~ the
date on which the
resumption of the Inam
~~was ordered~~ was ordered.

alleged that under
tank was al
occupied & w
it was
or partially
so what
~~accepted~~
This inform
must be gi
the perio

4. It is possible that
there may be cases similar
to this in other Districts also
Deputy Commissioners will
make the necessary enquiries

and

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Date
5.1.96
KOLAR DISTRICT

5.1.96

24.1.96

14/8/97

(69)

60 Dl 79 (10)

2 Gen. Serial No. 31.]

267 795 1897-98

11

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
GL No. 1189
Ref 93
AUG 97
SECRETARIAT OFFICE

From The Offg Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To The Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore

Dated 6th General and Rev Dept

Despatched August 1897.

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. Y 8 3

No. 129

With reference to Govt
Proc: No 7859-66 of the 2d
P 1574
March 1896 states that
there are no tanks in the
District for which Kodgi
Inams have been granted

Put up
9.8.97

Rec'd 11/8. Report at p.
Submitted
AK 13/8/97

AK 11/8/97.

No. 183/129

Deputy Commissioner's office
Shimoga District
Shimoga 6th August 1897.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore
General and Revenue Depts.

Sir

With reference to the Proceedings of Government No 7859-66/P1574 dated 2d March 1896, directing to make necessary enquiries and see that all orders for resumption of Kodgi Inam lands have been given effect to, I have the honor to state, that from the reports received from the Talukas, it appears that there are no Tanks (in the District) for which Kodgi Inams have been granted.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

In H. Manjunadayya

Offg Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

55
Section K (9½)
File No. 267 of 96
Serial No. 81 99

Proceedings of the Govt of Mysore

Read:—

(1) Petition No 1690/51 of 1895-96 presented by Govindappa of Hirekanyankalli Bannigal-Taluk, complaining that, in reply to his petition to the Inam Department for revision in accordance with the Government orders of 1885, of the Settlement of his Kodagi Inam attached to the Hosakere tank in the said village, he received an endorsement from the Amildar in 1895, to the effect that the Inam had been resumed by the late Inam Superintendent in 1872, and praying that the Inam which has been in his enjoyment may be confirmed to him.

(2) Docket-No $\frac{2259}{5-92}$ dated 19th December 1895 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District reporting that no effect was given to the order of resumption passed in 1871, and that the papers bearing on the subject were not forthcoming in the Kolar Kacheri.

(3) Correspondence ending with docket-No $\frac{2715}{728}$ dated 13th January 96 from the same officer, reporting, as the result of further enquiry, that no clue was found as to the whereabouts of the Amin Superintendent's order No 150 dated 30th June 1871, while that officer's order No 610 dated 26th March 92 was stated to have been referred to Shekdar Hanumanta Rao who was reported to have since died.

Order

as unoccupied waste
at the end of the year in 63
Vilambi; that this
indicates that the tank
is in an efficient condi
-tion and that the Builder
who inspected the tanks
had certified to that
effect.

5347-53
O. 895
9/1/19
O. 6

order thereon.

This report is a re-
-ply to Govt order dated
2nd March 1896 and the
Govt are compelled to
conclude that the Dy
Coms could not have
noticed the very long
delay of more than four
years in submitting
what after all is a state
-ment of the statistics
of cultivation, as, if he had
done so, it is natural
that the Govt should
have been favoured with
some explanation of this
unsatisfactory delay.
This instance is not
the first of its kind
from the Collectorate and
it

READ THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS—

READ—Petition No. 1690—51 of 1895-96, presented by Govindappa of Hirekarapanhalli, Bowringpet taluk, complaining that in reply to his petition to the Inam Department for revision in accordance with the Government Orders of 1888 of the settlement of his Kodagi Inam attached to the Hosakere tank in the said village, he received an endorsement from the Amildar in 1895, to the effect that the Inam had been resumed by the late Inam Superintendent in 1872; and praying that the Inam which has been in his enjoyment may be confirmed to him.

(2) Docket No. 2259—592, dated 19th December 1895, from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar district, reporting that no effect was given to the order of resumption passed in 1872, and that the papers bearing on the subject were not forthcoming in the Taluk Cutcherry.

(3) Correspondence ending with docket No. 2715—728, dated 13th January 1896, from the same officer reporting as the result of further enquiry, that no clue was found as to the whereabouts of the Inam Superintendent's order No. 150, dated 30th June 1871, while that officer's order No. 610, dated 26th March 1889, was stated to have been referred to shekdar Hanumanta Rao, who was reported to have since died.

No. 7859-66—P. 1574, DATED BANGALORE, 2ND MARCH 1896.

ORDER THEREON—The Kodagi Inam in question was finally ordered to be resumed on the ground that the tank was out of repair, so long ago as January 1872. Not only was no effect given to this order, but the whole record bearing on the matter is reported to be missing. In the meanwhile, the Kodagidar has been enjoying the land, and now asks for recognition of his right to this inam and for revision of the Inam settlement in respect of it in accordance with the Orders of Government, dated 29th September 1888.

2. It is to be regretted that the record has not been found. It behoves the Deputy Commissioner to look into this matter personally and endeavour his best to find the record. The careless officials responsible for this loss must be punished and the result reported to Government.

3. To decide the question regarding the validity of the resumption of this land, it is necessary to know the present condition of the tank, the extent of the Kodagi land and that of the Government holdings below the tank. A report should be submitted on these points, which should clearly mention whether the whole of the atchkat under the tank was always occupied and whether it was wholly or partially and if so, to what extent, cultivated. This information should be given for the period from the date on which the resumption of the Inam was ordered.

4. It is possible that there may be cases similar to this in other districts also. Deputy Commissioners will make the necessary enquiries and see that all orders for resumption of Inam lands have been given effect to.

READ—

Letter No. 1263—205, dated 8th October 1900, from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar district, submitting a statement of the statistics of cultivation below the said Hosakere Kodigi tank and reporting that the total atchkat (as per Survey) under the tank is 28 acres and 38 guntas and that the area of occupied lands (from the year 1872 the date of the resumption of the Inam to Vilambi 1898-99) is from 9 acres and 2 guntas to 16 acres and 28 guntas, leaving 2 acres and 11 guntas as unoccupied waste at the end of the year Vilambi; that this indicates that the tank is in an efficient condition and that the Amildar who inspected the tank had certified to that effect.

---

(12)

267 Proceedings of the Govt
13 of Mysore.

(73)

Read again

Proceedings of Govt
No. 7859-66 dated 2.3.1896,
@ 1574
calling for further infor-
-mation on the appeal pre-
-ferred by Govindappa of Hirika
-panihalli in the Bowri-
-pet-Sk, in re the resumpt-
-ion of his kodigi Inam
× × × ×.
(In 24 cases.)
× × ×

Read:-

Letter No. 1268/205 dated
8.10.00, from the Deputy
Comr, Kolar Dt, submit-
-ing a statement of the
statistics of cultivation
below the said Hosakere
kodigi tank and report
-ing that the total Atcha
-kat (as per Survey) under
the tank is 28 acres 38 gunt
and that the area of occu
-pied lands (from the year
1872 the date of the resum
-tion of the Inam to Vilambi
1898-99) is from 9 acres 2 g
-tas to 16 acres 28 guntas
leaving 2 acres and 11 gunt
a

59

and see that all orders for resumption of Inam lands have been given effect to.

Re-Draft
C243

C.
2-3-96
for Secretary

To The Deputy Commr
Kolar District
Deputy Commrs of other Districts

2nd Draft - submitted for approval of the 2nd Councillor

Holr
28/2/96

26/2

Lucas
27/2/96

Subs to Thomas
27/2

S.C.

copies sent to other
By Comms today
Secy R 19/3/96

46

REVENUE BRANCH
Gl. No. 2650
CCL 12 Rel 94
DEWT

(11)

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

To

Petition Branch

Please see entry in the file book
of this branch
Petition No: 350-96 dt 2-3-96
P. 1574

Now as many documents,
vide No: S.D.3/25-5-97 from
D.C. Kolar

Necessary in the
Revenue Branch to send
their No. Rev 28-5-97.

16/10/97

To Rev. Branch 16/10 for kind
compliance with reference to the
remarks of the Genl Branch

All
16/10/97

Please send my revenue book.

Please see the letter referred to was
sent to Petn Branch
vide 1897

47/10/97

Genl Branch
Please put up
Petn No: 7317-96
P. 1574
2-3-96 referred to

All
13/10/00

Rs 7.0

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Revenue and Forest Department
Bangalore

Dated 8 October 1900.
10
Despatched
Received
General No. 1268.
No. 205

Enclosure No. 1 Petition

Forwarding further particulars of the Korjis in Kolar District and requesting to grant adoption of Veerasandra Kalli Village, Bowringpet Taluk

Petition, dated 19th January 1901.

No. 5347-55—P. 895, DATED BANGALORE, 19TH JANUARY 1901.

ORDER THEREON—This report is a reply to Government Order dated 2nd March 1896, and the Government are compelled to conclude that the Deputy Commissioner could not have noticed the very long delay of more than four years in submitting what after all is a statement of the statistics of cultivation, as, if he had done so, it is natural that the Government should have been favored with some explanation of this unsatisfactory delay. This instance is not the first of its kind from the Kolar district, and it is hoped that the present Deputy Commissioner will infuse activity and promptness into the working of his office.

2. The other unsatisfactory feature of this case is that the order of the Inam Superintendent directing the resumption of this Kodagi Inam was not carried out. The record itself cannot be traced. The Deputy Commissioner, though requested to do so, has again failed to report the action he took on the receipt of the Government Order to which this letter is a reply, but which does not make any allusion at all to the result of the further investigation ordered. The fact remains that the Kodagidar has continued to enjoy his Inam during the last 29 years and the tank is reported to have been maintained in an efficient condition. Under these circumstances it seems hard and inequitable to give effect to the Order of 1872 and deprive the Inamdar of his Inam holding which he has still in his enjoyment. Before passing final orders in the matter, however, these papers will be sent to the Inam Superintendent, who will favor Government with his views after a consideration of the facts above set forth. The favor of an early reply from the Inam Superintendent is solicited.

C. SREENIVASIENGAR,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.
The Inam Superintendent, with the entire file.
The Deputy Commissioners of other Districts, for information.

Exd.—C. V.

Under District Deputy Commissioner's Office
Dated the 8 3 A.D. Received 1896
No. 710 A.D.S.

To,

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Revenue and Jeneral Department
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to Government Proceedings No. 7859-66 dated the 2nd March 1896, calling for further particulars regarding the Kodagi inam lands of Sowkile Nelumma & Ganpadayya of Siddapuranahalli Village, Bowringpet Taluk, I have the honor to enclose herein a statement which furnishes the required information.

2. It may be observed therefrom that the total extent, as per Survey, under the land in question is 28-38. The area of cultivated lands has been 0-2 to 16-28 leaving 2-11 as uncultivated waste at the end of the year Plavanga. This is the land that the land is in an efficient condition and the Amildar who inspected the land Certifies to that effect.

The documents papers are herewith submitted for further reference and obliging return when no longer required.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner
Kolar

It is hoped that the pre-sent by Court will in-fuse activity and in promptness into the working of his office.

2). The other unsatis-factory feature of this case is that the order of the Inam Settlement directing the resumption of this Kadigi Inam was not carried out. The record itself cannot be traced. The by Court though re-quested to do so, has again failed to report the action he took on the re-ceipt of the Govt order to which this letter is a reply but which does not make any allusion at all to the result of the further investigation ordered. The fact re-mains that the Kadigi-dar has continued to enjoy his Inam during the last 29 years and the lands is reported to

(14)

1690 /

6-3-1901

By order

C,

844.

For Secy

to have been maintained 65
in an efficient condi-
-tion. Under these cir-
-cumstances it seems
hard and inequitable
to give effect to the order
of 1892 and deprive
the Inamdar of his Inam
holding which he has
still in his enjoyment.
Before passing final
orders in the matter,
however, these papers
will be sent to the Inam
Supdt who will favor
Govt with his views
after a consideration
of the facts above set-
forth. The favor of an
early reply from the
Inam Supdt is solicited.

C
6/1/01 to the printed. Recs. 16/1/01.

15/1/01 for Secy (Genl)

To:
1) The Dy Comr. Kolar Dist.
2) The Inam Supdt with the entire file.
3) The Dy Comrs of other Dists for information.

True copy sent 22/1/01

No: 58
Encls: One Bundle

L.R. 68

Inam Superintendent's Office
Bangalore dated 7th February
1901.

To.

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

In reply to Government Proceedings
No: 5347-55 / P. 895 dated 19th Ultimo, I have the
honor to report that I consider that, under
the Circumstances, the Kodgi Inam of Kire-
Karapanahalli Village in the Bowringpet
Taluk, alluded to in the Proceedings under
reply, may be revised under the Rules of
1876, under which, the tank being now
certified as in order, Quit Rent
would be chargeable. It rests with
Government to make the revision retro-
spective.

2. The enclosures of the Proceedings under
reply are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant.

HB

Supdt of Inams & Pensions

Make an open file pro—
15-4-01
Open file No 33
All
15/4/01

Submitted with reference to the order on serial No 8 of this open file
15.4.01

Sd

Sd 8 to 11 Comm.

Sl 12 is a precedent order giving the history the case & the orders passed in Sl 13 is the survey report & the revision of the Hement of the Kadaji am having been sanctioned Supt now submits a copy the Supplemental Quit-Rent tion. He says that it rests th the Govt to make the ision retrospective.

15/4/01

× No 128.

There is no alienation to make the revision retrospective.

31/7/1907

Supt Prof
5/8

T.K.
26
17

16

Rec'd No. 6804
APR 1901
Pet 443

1900-1901

From

The Supdt of Inam Settlt.

To,

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore General and Revenue Dept.

Dated 7th
Desp'd 10th April 1901

Enclo: No 1

No 76 of 1900-1901

With reference to the Government Proceedings — No. 6820-21 Petn 1284, dated 6th March 1901, has the honor to forward a copy of the Supplemental Quit-Rent Register of Hire Kanapanahalli village of Malur Taluk Kolar District. —

Supdt of Inam Settlt

Rec'd
11.4.01
Rd 12/4/01

Regd

(13)

1900-1901

Judl

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
G.O. No. 5257
FEB. 7, 1901
DEPOSITORY
Bangalore.

From

The Superintendent of Inam Settlement & Sanads, Bangalore.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore, General and Revenue Departments.

Dated 5th
Despatched
Received } February 1901.

No. 58 of 1900-1901

Enclosure No. One Bundle.

Submits, report on the Complaint of Govindappa Kadigi Linganna of Kirkanakanahally village in the Bowringpet Taluk.

Submd to the Dy Commr with reference to the opinion called for from the Inam Supt.

hs.
22/2/01.

RD 7.3 obn.
22.2.01

The recommendation of the I. Supt to remit the settlement of the Kodi 2 of Kanchinapura held is sanctioned

26.2.01

27/2/01
hs.
28/2/01

Draft 28/2/01

Draft Endt.
Sent up.
Sd 2/3/01.

Peshi 1
6.2.01

Rd 7.2.01

Rep putup

7/2/01 Sd 7/2/01

(15) ... D.K. 20

File No 267-95

Serial No 11 Proceedings of the Govt of Mysore.

Read.

Correspondence ending with Govt Proceedings No 5347-55 P.895 dated 19th January 1901, calling for opinion of the Inam Superintendent regarding the Kodagi Inam of Hirekaranahalli village in the Bowringpet Taluk, belonging to one Govinda 12/20a.

2) Letter No 58 dated 5th February 1901, from ~~the Deputy Commissioner of the~~ Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore, reporting that, under the circumstances of the case, the Kodagi Inam in question might be revised under the Rules of 1876, under which

(the lands being certified to be in good order) 1/4 Quit-Rents would be chargeable and that it rests with the Government to make the revision retrospective.

order thereon. No 6820-21 / Rt 1234 / 6/6 Dt 6th December

The recommendation of the Inam Survey Supdt is to revise the settlement of the Inam in question is sanctioned.

C1
26-201

for Secretary

3/01

To.
1) The Supdt of Inam Settlements in Mysore
2) The Deputy Commissioner of with Ver Records. for information.

B.

Supplemental Register of Minor Inams Confirmed in the Taluk

ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನಲ್ಲಿ

1. Taluk. ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು. 2. Hobli. ಹೋಬಳಿ. 3. Village. ಗ್ರಾಮ.	Serial No. ಅನುಕ್ರಮ ನಂಬರು.	Name of Inamdar. ಇನಾಮುದಾರರ ಹೆಸರು.	Description of Inam. ಇನಾಮಿನ ಪಟ್ಟಿ.	Conditions of Grant. ಇನಾಮಿನ ನಿಬಂಧನೆ.	Description of Land. ಜಮೀನಿನ ಪಟ್ಟಿ.	Old Field Number. ಹಳೆಯ ಜಮೀನಿನ ನಂಬರು.	Old Extent. ಹಳೆಯ ವಿಸ್ತೀರ್ಣ.	Former Assessment. ಹಳೆಯ ಕಂದಾಯ.	To ಜಂ Old Extent. ಹಳೆಯ ವಿಸ್ತೀರ್ಣ.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Hulikere Hirekarajanahalli.	2128	Nagoondi 1 Nanjappa s/o Hirekarajana- halli. 2 Venkataramana	Kodagi	Inafit.	Wet	133 136 161	K. C. P R. & P Revised 1-19-8	13-6-2	1-19-8

of in the District of

ಕಾಯಿಂಮಾಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆರೆ ಇನಾಂಗಳ ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರೆರ್.

tal. ಮ್ಲ.	Inam confirmed. ಕಾಯಿಂಮಾಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ ಇನಾಂ.			Quit-Rent to Government. ಸರಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಕೊಡತಕ್ಕ ಕ್ವಿಟ್‌ರೆಂಟ್.			Enfranchised or not. ಕ್ವಿಟ್‌ರೆಂಟ್ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿಲ್ಲವೋ ಹೇಗೆ ಅಲ್ಲವೋ.	Remarks. ಪರಾ.
Old Assessment. ಸಾಬಕ ಬೇರೀಜು.	Survey Number. ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರ್.	Survey Acrea. ಸರ್ವೆ ಬೀಜವರೀ.	Survey Assessment. ಸರ್ವೆ ಬೇರೀಜು.	Former Jodi. ಸಾಬಕ ಜೋಡಿ.	Quit-Rent now imposed. ಹಾಲಿಹೊಕರರ ಮೂಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ ಕ್ವಿಟ್‌ರೆಂಟ್.	Total. ಜುಮ್ಲ.		
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19

Supplemental Quit-Rent
Register of Malur Taluk. Kolar Dist.

of Malur in the Kolar District of

ಕಾಯಿಂಮಾಡಲ್ಪಟ ಜಿಲ್ಲರೆ ಇನಾಂಗಳ ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರ.

tal. ಪತ್ಲ.	Inam confirmed. ಕಾಯಂಮಾಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ ಇನಾಂ.			Quit-Rent to Government. ಸರಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಕೊಡತಕ್ಕ ಕ್ವಿಟ್‌ರೆಂಟ್.			Enfranchised or not. ಫ್ರೀನೀಸ್ ಕೊಟಹಲ್ಲದೆ ಇದೆ ಇಲ್ಲವೆ.	Remarks. ಪರಾ.
Old Assessment. ಸಾಬಕ್ ಜೇರೀಜ್.	Survey Number ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರ್.	Survey Acrea. ಸರ್ವೆ ಟೀಜವರೀ.	Survey Assessment. ಸರ್ವೆ ಜೇರೀಜ್.	Former Jodi. ಸಾಬಕ್ ಜೋಡಿ.	Quit-Rent now imposed. ಹಾಲಿಮೊಕರರ್ ಮಾಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ ಕ್ವಿಟ್‌ರೆಂಟ್.	Total. ಜುಮ್ಲ.		
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
					3-8-0	3-8-0	Enfd.	

No. 136-2

Inam Superintendent's office.
Bangalore 9th April 1901.

Superintendent of Inam Settlements

B.

Register of Minor Inams Confirmed in the Taluk

ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ

1. Taluk. ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು. 2. Hobli. ಹೋಬಳಿ. 3. Village. ಗ್ರಾಮ.	Serial No. ಪಟ್ಟಿಯ ನಂಬರ.	Name of Inamdar. ಇನಾಂದಾರನ ಹೆಸರು.	Description of Inam. ಇನಾಮಿನ ಜಾತಿ.	Conditions of Grant. ಗ್ರಾಂಟಿನ ಷರತ್ತು.	Description of Land. ಜಮೀನಿನ ಜಾತಿ.	Old Field Number. ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರಿನ ನಂಬರ.	Old Extent. ಸರ್ವೆ ವಿಸ್ತಾರ.	Former Assessment. ಸರ್ವೆ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ.	To ಹೊಂ Old Extent. ಸರ್ವೆ ವಿಸ್ತಾರ.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

L.R. (17) 73

26.7-95

Proceedings of the Govt

Pollipore

Read again:-

Correspondence ending

with Govt. Proceedings dt

6820-21 dt 6. 3. 1901,

Pet 1284

Sanctioning the revision

of the Inam settlement

of the Kodigi Inam ~~of~~

belonging to Govindappa

of Hirekarapanahalli vil-

-lage in the Bowringpet

taluk. 1

Read

1 Docket No 96 dt 9/4/9

2 Inam settlement forwarding

3 Supplemental

4 Reports of Hirekarapanahalli

2

Order thereon No 262-3

P.Z. 13-01.

dt 7-8-01.

7

74

Govt: concur with the opinion of the Supdt: of Inam Settlements in Mysore and direct that ~~the~~ retrospective effect ~~may~~ should be given to the revision of Inam Settlement now made.

The persons for the Settlement now made will have retrospective effect

dfl
C

Secy:

LG.
5/9

To
The Supdt of Inam Settlements in Mysore.

The Secretary Mohar Dt: for information.

Requests him to submit a report on the present condition of the tank and the extent of the Kodagi lands and requests the other D.C.s to inform Govt if there are lands of the above kind in their Dists.

11. 1189/Pet-93 — 183/129 — 6/Aug·97.
From, The D.C. Shimoga
States that there are no tanks in his Dist for which Kodagi Inams have been granted.

12. 1650/Pet-94 — 1763/205 — 8.10.00.
From, The D.C. Kolar
Furnishes further particulars re the Kodagai Inam Lands of Hosakare.

13. — 5347-55/Pet-895 — 19.1.01.
To, The D.C. Kolar, The D.C.S of Dists. The Inam Supdt.
Passes orders on the Kodagi Inam lands of Hosakere.

14. 5274/Pet-795 — 58 — 5.2.01.
From, The Supdt: Inam Supdt:
Submits report on the Complaint of Govindappa Kodagai Inamdar of Hirekarapanahally Village

15. — 1690 — 6.3.01.
To, The Petitioner
States that the Inam Supdt: is of opinion that the Inam Settlements should be revised.

16. — 6820-21/Pet-1284 — — do —
To, The Inam Supdt. The D.C. Kolar
States that the Govt sanctions the recommendation of the Inam Supdt.

17. 6807/Pet-643 — 76 — 9.4.01.
From, The Inam Supdt:
Forwards a copy of Supplemental Quit-rent Register of Hirekarapanahalli

— 262-63 — 7.8.01.
To, The Inam Supdt. The Dy: Commr Kolar.
States that the Revision of the Settlement now made will have retrospective effect.

Indexed:—
L. Srinivasa Rau